

Motor Vehicle Catastrophic Injuries Support Scheme Dispute Resolution

All people catastrophically injured in a motor vehicle crash who are unable to prove negligence against a driver or owner of a vehicle, can apply to become a participant in the Catastrophic Injuries Support (CIS) scheme.

Dispute resolution

The Motor Vehicle (Catastrophic Injuries) Regulations 2016 provide for a formal dispute resolution process for disagreements about decisions made by the Insurance Commission on eligibility to participate in the scheme or treatment, care and support.

If you disagree with one of the decisions made by the Insurance Commission, you should in the first instance contact your Care Services Coordinator to discuss the disagreement. If the matter is not resolved informally, you can apply to have the matter dealt with through formal dispute resolution.

What can be disputed?

You can raise a dispute about the Insurance Commission's decision on:

- eligibility to participate in the Catastrophic Injuries Support scheme; or
- treatment, care and support.

How can I raise a dispute?

A dispute application must:

- a) be in writing;
- include the name, address and contact details of yourself and the participant if you are writing on their behalf;
- c) give details of the decision;
- d) give details of the injury/incident or alleged injury/incident to which the decision relates if your dispute relates to an injury;
- e) set out the reasons for disputing the decision;
- f) be accompanied by all documents relating to the decision that are in your possession;
- g) be accompanied by any medical reports or other information that are in your possession that you consider relevant to the dispute application;
- h) be made within 28 days after the day on which notice of the decision is given or any longer period as deemed appropriate by the Insurance Commission; and
- i) be sent to: GPO Box L920 Perth WA 6842. Attention to: Your contact person's name or email it directly to your contact person.

Please keep a copy of your application and supporting documents for your records.



Non-medical eligibility decisions can include:

- · whether an incident that results in an injury is a motor vehicle accident; or
- whether a motor vehicle injury is a motor vehicle injury to which the Motor Vehicle (Catastrophic Injuries) Act 2016 applies; or
- a decision to suspend someone from participation in the CIS scheme.

Following receipt of a dispute application, the Insurance Commission will refer the decision to a review officer for review and advise the applicant of the name of the appointed officer. If an applicant objects to a particular officer being appointed, they must do so within 14 days and provide reasons for the objection. The Insurance Commission <u>may</u> refer the decision to a different review officer.

An applicant may be required to appear before the review officer and on completion of a review, the review officer must communicate a decision in writing that either:

- · confirms or varies the original decision; or
- revokes the original decision and substitutes another decision.

Non-medical eligibility decisions are open to appeal via the District Court.

Medical eligibility decisions can include:

- · whether a motor vehicle injury is a catastrophic injury; or
- whether an injury results from a motor vehicle accident or is attributable to some other condition, event, incident or factor.

If the Insurance Commission receives a dispute application of a medical decision, the Insurance Commission must refer the decision to an independent convenor, who will convene an independent expert panel, to conduct a review.

On completion of the review, the expert review panel must make a decision that either confirms, varies or revokes the medical decision and substitutes another decision.

The expert review panel must provide written notice of the decision to the applicant and to the Insurance Commission. The notice must include the reasons for the decision and information about the applicant's right to apply for a review of the decision by a second expert panel.

An application for review by a second expert panel must be made in writing and must set out the grounds for the review. On completion of a review, the second review panel must make a decision that either confirms or varies the original review decision or revokes the original review decision and substitutes another decision. The decision must be notified in writing to the applicant and the Insurance Commission, and must include the reasons for the decision.

A decision made by a second review panel is final and binding and cannot be further reviewed or appealed against.

Disputes about treatment, care and support

Decisions made by the Insurance Commission about a participant's treatment, care and support are also subject to review through the same process as the medical eligibility review process except that the expert panel's decision is final and binding and cannot be reviewed or appealed.

Please contact your Care Services Coordinator if you have any further queries.